IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWIN HARRIS, : CIVIL ACTION : NO. 07-4300

Plaintiff, :

V.

MICHAEL ASTRUE, Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 24th day of September 2008, upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation filed by Magistrate Judge Carol Sandra Moore Wells (doc. no. 13)¹ and the cross motions for summary judgment filed by the parties (doc. nos. 7, 10, and 12), it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED;
- 2. The Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment(doc. no. 7) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. It is **DENIED** to the extent that it: (a) alleges the ALJ erred in failing to obtain an expert to complete a "Psychiatric Review Technique;" and (b) challenges the ALJ's RFC determination. It is **GRANTED** on all other grounds.

The Commissioner did not file Objections to the Report and Recommendation.

- 3. The Commissioner's motion for summary judgment (doc. no. 10) is **DENIED**; and
- 4. The case is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner in accordance with the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. §405(g) for further proceedings consistent with this order.²

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Eduardo C. Robreno EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

Specifically, upon remand, the ALJ should: (a) reevaluate Plaintiff's physical limitations without regard to Dr. Joel D. Pomerantz's findings; (b) re-evaluate Plaintiff's credibility concerning his complaints of pain; (c) re-evaluate the findings of Plaintiff's treating psychiatrist, Dr. Clarence Verdell, and re-consider whether Plaintiff meets Listed Impairment 12.04; (d) explicitly address how Plaintiff's low GAF scores impact his RFC during the closed period; and (e) pose a hypothetical question to the vocational expert which explicitly includes Plaintiff's deficiency in concentration, persistence, and pace.